



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/835,873 04/16/2001		Mark Vange	CIRC018	5575	
25235	7590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
HOGAN & HARTSON LLP ONE TABOR CENTER, SUITE 1500			ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J		
1200 SEVENTEENTH ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER, CO	O 80202		2161		
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/06/200	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/835,873	VANGE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Haythim J. Alaubaidi	2161			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nove	embr 15, 2005				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		nrosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under the	•				
Signature Will are presented unagera	en parto quayro, 1000 c.b. 11	100 0.0.210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-9,11-16 and 21-23</u> is/are pendi	ng in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-9,11-16 and 21-23 is/are reject	ed.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	A.W.				
·— · · · ·		ta butha Fugaina			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2001 is/are: a					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ì i			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		·			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , ,	V / V"/ " VI"			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	~			
<u> </u>		ation No			
2.1 Certified copies of the priority document	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>				
_	rity documents have been rece				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea</li> </ol>	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this National Stage			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this National Stage			
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eived in this National Stage			
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  Attachment(s)	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not rece	eived in this National Stage			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea</li> </ol>	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not rece  4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	ary (PTO-413)			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not rece  4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai	ived in this National Stage ived.			

Application/Control Number: 09/835,873 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in response to the amendments filed on November 15,
 2004.

- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-16 and 21-23, are presented for examination following the amendment.
- 3. The Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of Claims 6, 10 and 17-20.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-16 and 21-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

## **Priority**

5. This application is a continuation of provisional Application No. 60/197,490 and therefore, accorded the benefit of the earlier filing date of 17 April, 2000.

#### **Continued Examination**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 15, 2004 has been entered.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,873 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 1 and 8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite
- 8. The term "topologically close" in claims 1 and 8 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term " topologically close " is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention; close to one person may not be the same to another.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-16 and 21-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomson Leighton (U.S. Patent No. 6,553,413 and Leighton hereinafter) in view of Michael Tso (U.S. Patent No. 6,047,327 and Tso hereinafter).

Application/Control Number: 09/835,873

Art Unit: 2161

Regarding Claims 1-2, 4, 8-9, 15-16 and 23, Leighton discloses:

a communication network (Figure No 1, Element No. 14 and corresponding text)

a plurality of client applications coupled to the network (Figure No 1, Element No's. 10 and 16 and corresponding text; see also Col 3, Lines 56-58, i.e. and copies are located in regions close to the clients that are requesting them)

a set comprising one or more intermediary server coupled to the network (Figure No. 3, Element No's 36, 38 and 40; see also ISP; see also Col 5, Lines 50-56)

a data storage mechanism coupled to the network at a topological position with respect to the client applications that is unique from the topological position of intermediary server (Figure No. 1, Element No. 12; see also Figure No 3, Element No. 45 and corresponding text; see also Col 6, Lines 12-21, i.e. The servers are preferably located at the edges of the network; see also Col 6, Lines 35-38; see also Col 2, Lines 51-56; see also Col 10, Lines 23-29) and having an interface for communicating with the intermediary server (Figure No 5, Element No. 1 and corresponding text; see also Col 5, Lines 22-30)

means within the at least one intermediary server to access the data storage mechanism and establishing a channel (Col 4, Line 65 through Col 5, Line 10; see also Col 13, Lines 42-45)

using the intermediary server to format database content obtained from the data storage mechanism to a format usable by the client application (Col 1, Lines 21-40)

Art Unit: 2161

Leighton discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate the step of the first computer located topologically close to the client application and a second computer located topologically close to the data storage. However, Tso discloses the first computer located topologically close to the client application and a second computer located topologically close to the data storage (Figures No. 1 and 3 and corresponding text). Given the intended broad application of the Leighton system, It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Leighton with the teachings of Tso to indicate the first computer located topologically close to the client application and a second computer located topologically close to the data storage as in any distributed network a plurality of clients and servers are connected such as in the Internet, one good reason would be to increase the amount of users that would lead to an increase in revenues.

Regarding Claim 3, Leighton wherein at lease on of the client applications comprises a web browser application (Figure 1, Element 16) and an HTTP request (Col 4, Lines 8-30).

Regarding Claim 5, the limitations of this claim is similar in scope to the rejected claim 1, above. In edition, Leighton discloses an intermediary server is topologically distant from the data storage mechanism (Col 5, Lines 55-56).

Regarding Claims 7 and 11-14, Leighton discloses the support for prioritizing the requests (Col 11, Lines 55-57, i.e. The serial numbers are then processed in increasing order of load; see also "priority list" at line 61 of the same Col).

Regarding Claims 21-22, the limitations of these claims are similar in scope to the rejected claim 1, above. In edition, Leighton discloses:

causing the intermediary server to determine availability (Col 3, Line 67 through Col 4, Line 2, i.e. In particular, the top level DNS server returns a list of low-level DNS servers that may be used by the client to service the request for the embedded object)

using the intermediary server to obtain substitute database content in the busy or unavailable event (Col 4, Lines 3-6).

#### **Points of Contact**

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haythim J. Alaubaidi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (571) 272-4023.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or telefax at our fax number (703) 872-9306.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window of the Randolph Building at 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

Haythim	7.	alau	kaidi
Juyuun	<i>y.</i>	uuu	vuuu

FRANTZ COBY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7

Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 Art Unite 2161 March 21, 2005